	Case 4:15-cv-40100-TSH   Document 361   Filed 02/14/20   Page 1 of 12	
		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	
2		
3		
4	Timothy M. Reaves, ) Plaintiff, )	
5	)	
6	vs. ) Case No. 15cv40100-TSH	
7		
8	Department of Correction, ) et al.,	
9	Defendants. )	
10	BEFORE: The Honorable Timothy S. Hillman	
11		
12		
13	<u>Telephonic Status Conference</u>	
14		
15		
16	United States District Court Courtroom No. 2	
17	595 Main Street Worcester, Massachusetts	
18	January 15, 2020	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter	
24	United States District Court 595 Main Street, Room 514A	
25	Worcester, MA 01608-2093 508-929-3399 justicehill@aol.com Mechanical Steno - Transcript by Computer	

## 1 PROCEEDINGS (The following proceedings were held in open court 2 before the Honorable Timothy S. Hillman, United States District 3 Judge, United States District Court, District of Massachusetts, 4 at the Donohue Federal Building & United States Courthouse, 6 595 Main Street, Worcester, Massachusetts, on January 15, 2020.) 7 THE CLERK: All rise. 8 9 Court is now open. You may be seated. 10 Case No. 15-40100, Reaves versus the Department of 11 Correction. 12 Counsel, please note your appearance for the record. 13 MS. PETIT: Lauren Petit for the Plaintiff Timothy 14 Reaves. 15 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Petit. 16 MS. PETIT: Good afternoon. 17 MR. O'DONNELL: Good afternoon, your Honor. O'Donnell for the Department of Correction. 18 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. O'Donnell. 20 Somebody tell me something good. 21 (Laughter.) MS. PETIT: Okay. I think -- I think today we 22 23 actually have something good. 24 At the beginning of this week I received the 25 information that Mr. Reaves has been clinically accepted at the

1 iCare Health Network in Connecticut.

THE COURT: Spell the iCare.

3 MS. PETIT: ICare, kind of like iPhone with a small i,

and then capital C, care, C-A-R-E.

THE COURT: I see.

MS. PETIT: They are a company that runs a network of approximately 10 nursing facilities in the State of Connecticut. It's -- that is the parent company of the 60 West facility, which we had talked about before.

They determined that after review of records and evaluating Mr. Reaves in person and talking to care providers that they can care for him in a number of their facilities there.

And the only reason that it's a clinical acceptance instead of a straight-out acceptance is because there are a number of -- of sort of smaller items to be dealt with before it's a final permanent succession. So --

THE COURT: Great. What's the timing?

MS. PETIT: The timing depends on which facility he would be going into. Unfortunately, 60 West has an extremely long wait list, so it would likely be one of three other facilities that they recommended as being particularly able to provide appropriate care to Mr. Reaves. Two of them are in Manchester, Connecticut; one of them is in East Windsor, Connecticut.

Each of their facilities has 24-hour nursing care.

They have physical therapy, occupational therapy, wound care, nutrition, rehabilitation programs. None of them has a spinal cord injury specialist on staff so the network does have a consultant podiatrist, who rotates throughout the facilities.

That physiatrist used to work at Seaward Specialty

Health, which is in the same area as these facilities and where

the plan would be to try to get Mr. Reaves accepted as a

patient so that he would have, you know, spinal cord injury

specialty care that could work in concert with the nursing

facility.

THE COURT: Great.

MS. PETIT: So that's --

THE COURT: What's the -- what's the -- how is the -- what's the -- what's the payment situation?

MS. PETIT: Okay. So the payment situation is this.

Mr. Reaves could get -- apparently, the East Windsor facility,
which is called Fresh River has a doctor -- their medical
director there has a Massachusetts license and, therefore, a

MassHealth provider number. So they're of the understanding
that Mr. Reaves could go there and have MassHealth pay under
that Massachusetts provider number until he is on the

Connecticut version of Medicaid, and then he would transfer
over to that.

What the -- what iCare is requiring is that there be

some sort of contract ensuring that they would be paid what would be the Connecticut rate for whatever expenses there are during that time period, you know, from when he got there until he's actually on the Connecticut Medicaid.

I'm not -- I'm not sure how the Massachusetts rate compares to the Connecticut rate, but if there's a difference they would need to be reimbursed that difference.

And if for some reason he can't be on the

Mass -- MassHealth during that period then they would need to
be reimbursed the whole amount.

So our position is that that -- that contract would need to be with the Department of Correction, but with the hope that if he goes to Fresh River that really no payment would actually end up being required or if any, very little.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. O'Donnell, are you aware -- were you -- are you in the loop on this?

MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. Just real recently, yesterday, Attorney Petit had given me, you know, what I'm going to determine as good news, this good news. And what I asked her to do, and I'm sure she will, is file a written request with the commissioner to lift the stay that had been placed on the medical parole petition due to the lack of available location given this new information. And I asked Attorney Petit, you know, give all these details, including the questions relating

to finances so that it can be raised up the chain, you know, to the commissioner ultimately because the medical parole petition was never fully acted on. It was pending and stayed as a result of an inability to locate a location that would be suitable for -- for Mr. Reaves's placement. So kind of as a parallel track to the court, you know, order from the litigation.

This was a separate entirely different matter, but at any rate, so I'm sure Attorney Petit will get that. I asked her to get it to me. I happened to had been involved in the medical parole petition. There's usually some legal counsel assigned to kind of steer things and get it up to the commissioner, and I told her I would do that. So the, you know, details of the clinical acceptance, which sounds very positive, whatever other information they're looking for, so I can give that to the commissioner for her consideration.

THE COURT: Ms. Petit, how soon before you can get that to Mr. O'Donnell?

MS. PETIT: I should be able to get that to him either by the end of the day today or tomorrow in the morning.

THE COURT: Beautiful. That's great.

Mr. O'Donnell, what's your -- what's the time frame that you're working under?

MR. O'DONNELL: Well, as soon as I get this information from Attorney Petit, I'm going to, you know, funnel

it up to the chain of command with a, you know, an urgency to it given the fact of our litigation, if nothing else, and, you know, it's -- it is somewhat complicated, you know, given the different states.

Like a medical parole to Massachusetts involves

Massachusetts parole going to assess the place and determining
the appropriateness of it and the things like that. I'm not
sure what kind of a time lag would be built in with an
out-of-state kind of process. I mean there would have to be an
assessment of this place to determine the appropriateness of
it. It sounds appropriate. I don't have concerns from what
Attorney Petit is saying. It sounds, you know, fine, but I'm
not the one that -- that decides that.

So at any rate it's -- it's a little bit hard to give you a firm date just because of the couple of questions that are -- that are presented. If it were more straightforward, I could give you a better estimate as to, you know, turnaround time. It's hard to give you a guesstimate with the number of complicating factors in this case.

THE COURT: So --

MR. O'DONNELL: I will say, so it will be, you know, acted on expeditiously. There's no question there won't be foot dragging.

THE COURT: So, Ms. Petit, what -- what happens if -- what's -- what's the plaintiff's plan if the bed becomes

```
1
      available and we're still wrestling with MassHealth?
               MS. PETIT: If we're wrestling with MassHealth in
 2
      terms of paying for that -- that period until he's on
 3
 4
      Connecticut?
 5
               THE COURT: Yeah.
 6
               MS. PETIT: I'm not actually anticipating much
      wrestling with them. They -- the iCare folks seem quite
 7
 8
      confident that they could bill MassHealth under that doctor.
 9
               THE COURT: All right.
10
               MS. PETIT: But that's it.
11
               THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to create a
12
      problem. I'm not going to create a problem where none exists.
13
               Why don't we go out -- what's your expectation when a
14
      bed would be available then? I don't think I did get a direct
15
      answer on that.
16
               MS. PETIT: It -- it depends on which facility, but
17
      they said with the three facilities that they recommended in
18
      particular, which includes the Fresh River facility where the
19
      MassHealth provider number is, they could have a bed within
20
      days.
21
               THE COURT: Okay.
22
               MS. PETIT: But I agree with Attorney O'Donnell that
23
      there are a number of other complicating factors. I do -- I am
24
      encouraged by the fact that there are people who are on
25
      Connecticut parole in a number of iCare Health Network
```

```
1
      facilities, so they have certainly been approved for folks on
      parole previously, and I think the question will be just, you
 2
      know, Mr. Reaves specific. I'm hopeful that that will -- I
 3
 4
      don't know what the timing will be like, but I'm hopeful that
 5
      the ultimate result will be good.
               THE COURT: Why don't we do this. Let's go out -- I
 6
      can go -- I can go longer, but I really want to keep the
 7
      thread. How about if we go out about three weeks?
 8
               And if you guys -- and if you guys hear anything
 9
10
      sooner, just get ahold of Mr. Castles, and we'll tee it up even
11
      quicker.
12
               MS. PETIT: Okay. So that would be around the 5th of
13
      February?
14
               THE COURT: I don't know. Let me talk to the boss
15
      here.
16
               (Laughter.)
17
               (The clerk conferred with the Court.)
18
               THE COURT: How's two o'clock on February 5th?
19
               MS. PETIT: That works fine.
               MR. O'DONNELL: Yeah, that's fine.
20
21
               THE COURT: Great. And as always, you're invited in
22
      person, but we can do it telephonically.
23
               MR. O'DONNELL: Telephone would be preferred for me,
24
      your Honor. I have cases that day at Bridgewater so it would
25
      be preferable for phone for me on the 5th.
```

Case 4:15-cv-40100-TSH Document 361 Filed 02/14/20 Page 11 of 12

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u> I, Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcription of my stenographic notes before the Honorable Timothy S. Hillman, to the best of my skill, knowledge, and ability. /s/ Marianne Kusa-Ryll 2/13/2020\_ Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR Date Official Court Reporter